

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Post Office Box Application
Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231

November 4, 2000

Small Entity Declaration and Certificate of Mailing

The following correspondence is being deposited with the United States mail, Express mail addressed to the above address. Applicants named in the attached applications qualify as small entities under the law to the best of my knowledge.

Express Mail No. EF107995208 US

Respectfully,



Christopher E. Blank
Reg. No. 31,237
BGB Legal Services
4 Bicentennial Square
Suite 2b
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Ph 603 227 5248
Fx 603 227 5263

Abstract The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of a 12-week, low-intensity, supervised walking program on the physical and psychological health of sedentary, middle-aged women. The study was a randomized, controlled trial. The subjects were 40 sedentary, middle-aged women who were randomly assigned to either a supervised walking program or a control group. The walking program consisted of 12 weeks of supervised walking, 3 times per week, for 30 minutes per session. The control group consisted of 20 women who did not participate in the walking program. The subjects were assessed at baseline and at 12 weeks. The walking program had a significant positive effect on the physical and psychological health of the subjects. The walking program significantly improved the subjects' physical fitness, as measured by the 6-minute walk test, and their psychological health, as measured by the Beck Depression Inventory and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. The walking program also significantly improved the subjects' quality of life, as measured by the SF-36. The walking program had no significant effect on the subjects' weight, blood pressure, or cholesterol levels. The results of this study suggest that a supervised walking program can be an effective intervention for improving the physical and psychological health of sedentary, middle-aged women.

Inventors

Frank X. Smith
John Randall Tracey

Summary of the Invention

The present invention relates to contact lens care solutions that have improved ability to resist protein deposition and to provide lenses treated with such solutions to stabilise proteins more effectively, and to decrease the degree of other polymeric depositions on said lenses, such as polymeric preservative deposition of the lenses. The solutions of the present invention employ imidazole as an additive to state of the art solutions in order to decrease the denaturalization of proteins during cleaning cycles and to coat treated lenses to decrease the number of active binding sites on the lenses.

The present invention comprises 0.01 to 5 weight percent of imidazole and a second contact lens solution agent. These agents may include but are not limited to includes an effective amount of a preservative component, for example, an effective preserving amount of a non-oxidative antimicrobial component. Any suitable preservative component may be employed provided that it functions as a preservative and has no significant detrimental effect on the contact lens being treated or the wearer of the treated contact lens. Examples of useful preservative components include, but are not limited to, poly[dimethylimino-2-butene-1,4-diyl] chloride, alpha [4-tris (2-hydroethyl) anunoniumdichloride (available from Onyx Corporation under the trademark Polyquai-ternium 1 Registered TM), benzalkonium halides such as benzalkonium chloride, alexidine salts, chlorhexidine salts, hexamethylene biguanimides and their polymers, and the like and mixtures thereof

The subtilisin enzymes are broken down into two sub-classes, subtilisin A and subtilisin B. In the subtilisin A grouping are enzymes derived from such species are *B. subtilis*, *B. licheniformis* and *B. pumilis*. Organisms in this sub-class produce little or not neutral protease or amylase. The subtilisin B. sub-class is made up of enzymes from such organisms a *B. subtilis*, *B. subtilis* var. *amylosacchariticus*, *B. amyloliquefaciens* and *B. subtilis* NRRL B341 1. These organisms product neutral proteases and amylases on a level about comparable to their alkaline protease production. One or more enzymes from the subtilisin A sub-class are particularly useful.

In addition other preferred enzymes are, for example, pancreatin, trypsin, collagenase, keratinase, carboxylase, aminopeptidase, elastase, and aspergillo-peptidase A and B, pronase E (from *S. griseus*) and dispase (from *Bacillus polymyxa*).

An effective amount of enzyme is to be used in the practice of this invention. Such amount will be that amount which effects removal in a reasonable time (for example overnight) of substantially all of at least one type of debris from a lens due to normal wear. this standard is stated with reference to contact lens wearers with a history of normal pattern of lens debris accretion, not the very small group who may at one time or another have a significantly increased rate of debris accretion such that cleaning is recommended every day, or every two or three days.

The amount of enzyme required to make an effective cleaner will depend on several factors, including the inherent activity of the enzyme, and the extent of its interaction with the hydrogen peroxide present.

As a basic yardstick, the working solution should contain sufficient enzyme to provide about 0.001 to about 3 Anson units of activity, preferably about 0.01 to about 1 Anson units, per single lens treatment. Higher or lower amounts may be used. Enzyme activity is pH dependent so for any given enzyme, there is a particular pH range that is most effective and the solution may be formulated to adjust the pH for optimal enzyme activity.

EXAMPLE

Reduced Protein Deposition

Contact lenses were soaked and heated in test solutions to which a radio-labeled lysozyme was present in a known amount for a period of 12 hours at 37 degrees Celsius. The lenses were rinsed with distilled water in order to remove residual solution. The lenses were then assayed for protein deposition using a Beckman BioGamma 1 counter. Results were reported in ug/lens.

	Lens A ug/lens	Lens B ug/lens	Average ug/lens
Phosphate buffer control	1,043	865	954
1% Imidazole	16	11	14

The imidazole was a 1 percent w/v solution. The matrix control was phosphate buffer and sodium chloride. The imidazole-hydrogen peroxide solution had lower protein binding than the control.

EXAMPLE

Reduced Preservative Binding

Contact lenses were soaked and heated in test solutions to which a radio-labeled C¹⁴-PHMB solution in a known concentration for a period of 12 hours at 37 degrees Celsius. The lenses were rinsed with distilled water in order to remove residual solution. The lenses were then assayed for the radio-labeled protein deposition using a Beckman BioGamma 1 counter. Results were reported in ug/lens.

Solution	Lens A ug/lens	Lens B ug/lens	Average ug/lens
1% imidazole in phosphate buffer	21	17	19
Phosphate buffer control	73	64	68.5

The imidazole was at a 1 percent w/v solution in the phosphate buffer. The control was phosphate buffer and sodium chloride. The imidazole solution had a lower cationic preservative adsorption than the control.

EXAMPLE

Inhibition of Protein Deposition

Isotonic aqueous phosphate buffered solutions were prepared and adjusted to pH 7.4. Contact lenses

were soaked in 25 mL of the test solutions overnight. Afterwards, lysozyme was added to the tubes and warmed to 37 degrees Celsius for 12 hours. The lenses were rinsed with distilled water in order to remove residual solution. The lenses were assayed for protein deposition by the BCA method and detected on a HP PDA Spectrophotometer. Results were reported in ug/lens.

Solution	ug lysozyme per lens
Marketed Product Control (phosphate buffer, Poloxamer)	>18.3
Phosphate buffer control	>26.16
1% Imidazole - hydrogen peroxide	3.52

The matrix control was phosphate buffer and sodium chloride. The imidazole solution had lower protein binding than the controls.

EXAMPLE

Protein Stability (Experiment BCL075-126)

Test solutions were prepared according to the formulas indicated in the table had undenatured protein added in a control and were heated to approximately 80 degrees Celsius as indicated. Each sample was observed for clarity. This test provides useful results for indicating the protein is stabilized in comparison with other solutions subjected to the same test regimen.

Weight	10	8	7	6	4	3	2	1	
	80 °C	80 °C	80 °C	80 °C	Ambient	Ambient	Ambient	Ambient	
Solution	15 min	30 min	45 min	60 min	15 min	30 min	24 hour	48 hour	Total
I	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	3	29
II	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	95
III	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	123
IV	0	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	77
V	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	103
VI	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	103

- I. 1% imidazole in phosphate buffer
- II. phosphate buffer control
- III. marketed product having the general composition: A sterile, aqueous, buffered, slightly hypertonic solution containing PEO sorbitan monolaurate and a betaine surfactant as cleaning agents; a silicone glycol copolymer, a cellulosic viscosifier preserved with chlorhexidine gluconate (0.003%), polyaminopropyl biguanide (0.0005% and edetate disodium (0.05%).
- IV. marketed product having the general composition: A sterile, isotonic solution that contains boric acid, edetate disodium, poloxamine, sodium borate and sodium chloride; preserved with DYMED (polyaminopropyl biguanide) 0.00005%.
- V. marketed product having the general composition: A sterile, isotonic solution that contains

- HYDRANATE (hydroxyalkylphosphonate), boric acid, edetate disodium, poloxamine, sodium borate and sodium chloride; preserved with DYMED (polyaminopropyl biquanide) 0.0001%.
- VI. marketed product having the general composition: A sterile isotonic aqueous solution containing sodium chloride, polyoxyethylene polyoxypropylene block copolymer, sodium phosphate dibasic, sodium phosphate monobasic, and preserved with edetate disodium dihydrate 0.025% and polyhexanide 0.0001%.

A weighting factor as indicated in the table was used to multiply each result. 0 indicated a clear sample; 1 slightly turbid, 2 turbid, and 3 indicated cloudy and separate phases (precipitate). The data illustrates the ability of imidazole to stabilize the protein and thus decrease the extent of opacification on the contact lens from the protein deposit. The formula performed superior to the marketed products.

CONFIDENTIAL

What is claimed is:

1. A lens care solution comprising:

0.01 to about 5 weight percent of imidazole;

an effective amount of biologically compatible buffer system to maintain the pH of the solution between 6.5 and 7.8 pH, and

the balance water.

2. A lens care solution comprising:

0.0 to about 5 weight percent of imidazole; an effective amount of tonicity agent ; and

the balance water

3 A lens care solution comprising:

0.0 to about 5 weight percent of imidazole; an effective amount of a preservative agent;

and the balance water

3. A method for treating a contact lens in order to decrease its affinity to protein

deposition which comprises the step of:

Soaking a contact lens in an aqueous solution comprising 0.01 to 5 weight percent imidazole.

4. The solution of claim 1 which further comprises 0.01 to 2 weight percent of a physiologically acceptable tonicity agent adjusted so the solution is isotonic between 200 and 400 mOsm
5. The solution of claim 4 that further comprises 0.00001 to 0.1 weight percent of a preservative.
6. The solution of claim 1 wherein the buffer is selected from the group consisting of organic amines, organic carboxylic acids, amphoterics, phosphates, or borates.
7. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer bis(2-hydroxyethyl)iminotris(hydroxymethyl)methane (Bis-Tris) and its salts.
8. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the 1,2-bis[tris(hydroxymethyl)-methylamino]propane (Bis-Tris Propane) and its salts.
9. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the N-tris(hydroxymethyl) methyl glycine (Tricine) and its salts.
10. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-glycine (Bicine) and its salts.
11. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the betaine and its salts.
12. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer phosphate and its salts
13. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is borate and its salts
14. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the is citrate and its salts
15. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises is TRIS and its

salts

16. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is 2-amino-2-methyl-1,3-propanediol and its salts
17. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is triisopropanolamine and its salts
18. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is carnitine and its salts
19. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is dimethyl glutamate and its salts
20. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is creatine and its salts
21. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is diethanolamine and its salts
22. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is diisopropylamine and its salts
23. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is triethanolamine and its salts
24. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is triethylamine and its salts
25. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is dimethyl aspartic acid and its salts
26. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is imidazole and its salts
27. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is histidine and its salts
28. The method of claim 3 wherein the aqueous solution further comprises the buffer is methyl aspartate and its salts.

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/01 (10-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN PATENT APPLICATION (37 CFR 1.63) <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted with Initial Filing OR <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted after Initial Filing (surcharge (37 CFR 1.16 (e)) required)	Attorney Docket Number	
	First Named Inventor	FRANCIS X. SMITH
	COMPLETE IF KNOWN	
	Application Number	/
	Filing Date	
	Group Art Unit	
	Examiner Name	

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Ophthalmic and Contact Lens Solution Comprising Imidazole

(Title of the Invention)

the specification of which

☐ is attached hereto

OR

☐ was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY)

as United States Application Number or PCT International

Application Number

and was amended on (MM/DD/YYYY)

(if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56, including for continuation-in-part applications, material information which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application Number(s)	Country	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Priority Not Claimed	Certified Copy Attached?	
				YES	NO
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Additional foreign application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

Application Number(s)	Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional provisional application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto.
60/163453	11-04-99	

[Page 1 of 2]

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

DECLARATION — Utility or Design Patent ApplicationDirect all correspondence to: ☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label ☐ OR ☐ Correspondence address belowName *Chris Blank*Address *4 Bicentennial Square*Address *Suite 2B*City *Concord*State *NH*ZIP *03301*Country *USA*Telephone *603 227 5248*Fax *603 227 5263*

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR :

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventorGiven Name
(first and middle [if any]) *FRANCIS X.*Family Name
or Surname *SMITH*Inventor's
Signature *Francis X. Smith*Date *11-03-00*Residence: City *Salem*State *NH*Country *USA*Citizenship *USA*Mailing Address *22 Fox Run*

Mailing Address

City *Salem*State *NH*

ZIP

Country *USA*

NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR:

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventorGiven Name
(first and middle [if any])Family Name
or SurnameInventor's
Signature

Date

Residence: City

State

Country

Citizenship

Mailing Address

Mailing Address

City

State

ZIP

Country

☐ Additional inventors are being named on the ____ supplemental Additional Inventor(s) sheet(s) PTO/SB/02A attached hereto.